# THE DIFFERENTIAL CHARACTERS OF THE SYNGNATHID AND HIPPOCAMPID FISHES.

# By THEODORE GILL, LL. D.

THE TYPICAL Lophobranchs have been distributed among two families by several authors, but by most have been combined in one. The reasons generally given for the separation have not been very satisfactory, and I now propose to indicate those which have influenced me.

Ī.

The first to recognize the family difference between the groups in question, and to give appropriate names to them, was Prof. Giovanni D. Nardo.

In 1842 (1844)<sup>1</sup> Professor Nardo divided the Lophobranehs into two families, Syngnathidæ and Hippocampidæ, in the following terms:

Fam. 1. Syngnathidæ NARDO. Annuli protovertebrales constituuntur scutis squamoso-corneis, medio angulosis, symmetrice striatis, contiguis, subimbricatis, corio superpositis, adhærentissimis. Ossa nasalia et palatina usque ad apicem rostri protracta, et maxillæ superiori conjuncta. Epidermis erassa, stipata, continua, adhærens, scutorum strias exhibens. Appendices cutaneæ nullæ.

Subfamilia 1. Syngnathini NARDO. Ventrales nullæ; os terminale; apertura branchiarum ad nucham.

Subfamilia 2. Scyphini NARDO.<sup>2</sup> Corpus pinna unica seu dorsali instructum est.

Fam. 2. Hippocampidæ Nardo. Annuli protovertebrales constituuntur ossiculis quadrangularibus, angulis porrectis, centro in tuberculum salientibus, distantes, et sibi invicem per angulos tantum seriatim et symmetrice conjunctis, corio intrinsecus obsitis. Ossa nasalia et palatina ad medium tantum rostri protracta, et maxillæ superiori contigua. Epidermis continua, adhærentissima, glabra. Appendices cutanæ multæ, etc.

Subfamilia 1. Hippocampini NARDO. Ventrales et caudales nullæ; os terminale; apertura branchiarum ad nucham ec.

Subfamilia 2. Pegasini NARDO. Ventrales filiformes; os inferum ad basin rostri; apertura branchiarum ante pinnas pectorales, etc.

Subfamilia 3. Solenostomini NARDO. Ventrales grandes, pectoralibus conjunctae; os terminale; apertura branchiarum ad jugulum ec.

'Considerazione sopre alcune nuove famiglie de' Syngnathi e de' Plectognathi, e sui caratteri anatomici che le distinguono. <a href="#">Atti Scienz. Ital., 1843, pp. 244, 245</a>.

<sup>2</sup> Syngnathini, BONAPARTE, Catal. Metod. Pesci Europei, pp. 9, 89, 1846, is apparently coequal with Scyphini of Nardo.

154

VOL. XVIII.

In 1846 Prince Bonaparte (of Canino) adopted this classification, but changed the name from Hippocampidæ to Pegasidæ, and substituted for Syngnathini, Siphostomini, and for Scyphini, Syngnathini. The former change was effected doubtless for the reason that Pegasus was the longest named genus, and the latter because Syngnathus was restricted to the genus called Nerophis by other authors, while the one generally called Syngnathus was designated after Rafinesque Siphostoma. Bonaparte's arrangement, then, was as follows:

```
Osteodermi [= Lophobranchii].

Pegasidæ [= Hippocampidæ N.].

Solenostomini.

Pegasini.

Hippocampini.

Syngnathidæ.

Siphostomini [= Syngnathini N.].

Syngnathini [= Scyphini N.].
```

The relationship between the *Hippocampini* and the restricted Syngnathidæ is evidently far nearer than that between the former and the *Solenostomini* and *Pegasini*. Inasmuch as the last two types are now universally conceded to be of family rank, it is unnecessary to urge the differences between them and the *Hippocampini*. The characters used to combine the three by Nardo are, indeed, not only superficial, but illusive. There are, however, differences in dermal investment between the Syngnathidæ proper and Hippocampini (or Hippocampidæ) which may be appreciated on analysis, and which are indicated in the diagnoses of the respective families submitted in the following synopsis.

Swainson referred three Linnaan genera to his family Syngnathide, which he divided into subgenera as follows:

```
Pegassus, Linn. [= Pegasidw, Ad.].

Hippocampus, Linn. [!= Hippocampidw, Ad.].

[Hippocampus restricted.]

Phyllopteryx, Sw.

Solenostoma, Lac.

Syngnathus, Linn. [= Syngnathidw, Ad.].

Syngnathus, Linn.

Acus, Wiel.

Solegnathus, Sw.
```

It is probable that Adams, if he had proceeded independently, would not have been guilty of the gross inconsistencies which Swainson perpetrated, but, as a matter of fact, his diagnoses were almost interchangeable with those assigned to the corresponding groups by Swainson.

In 1854 Adams recognized three families of Lophobranchii and diagnosed the Syngnathidæ and Hippocampidæ as follows:

1. Family.—Pipefishes (Syngnathidæ).—Body prolonged, slender, or angulated; snout greatly prolonged, cylindrical; mouth terminal, vertical; ventral fins absent; caudal fin wanting in some.

- 2. Family.—Sea-horses (Hippocampidæ).—Head and body compressed; snout narrow, tubular; mouth terminal; pectorals small, dorsal single; caudal fin wanting.
  - 3. Family.—Winged Sea-horses (Pegasidæ).

Mr. Adams' work was largely based on Swainson's, and his diagnoses of families were often essentially similar to many of Swainson's.

In 1858 Dr. Girard adopted the families Hippocampidæ (after Owen and Baird) and Syngnathidæ, with the following data:

# Family HIPPOCAMPIDÆ, Owen.

The sea-horse family being composed, to our knowledge, of but one genus (*Hippocampus*), we will not enlarge upon its characters here, since alluding to them would be a mere repetition of their enumeration further on.

## He added that—

The position these fishes assume in the media in which they live is not the least of their peculiarities entitling them to the rank of a family in the ichthyle method.

# Family SYNGNATHIDÆ, Bonaparte.

The same remark consigned under the head of Hippocampidæ applies again to this family, for the genus Syngnathus is the sole generic type which we have had an opportunity of examining. Those established by Kaup are quite numerous, but the description of their characters has not yet come into our hands.

The characters thus connected indirectly with the families in question are simply of generic value, and the agreement in many characters of *Hippocampus* with *Gasterotokeus*, *Solenognathus* and *Phyllopteryx*, associated with it by Kaup, shows that the "position these fishes assume" is of minor value and not significant of family differentiation. As Girard had knowledge of Kaup's article published in 1853, he had data to forbid the assumptions he indulged in.

In 1882 Jordan and Gilbert accepted the two families in question and briefly differentiated them as follows:

- "E. Snout tubular, bearing the short, toothless mouth at its end; body mailed.
- "F. Caudal fin present; head in the line of the axis of the body.. Syngnathida.
- "FF. Caudal fin wanting; head not in line of axis of body... Hippocampida."

In the descriptive portion of their synopsis they gave amplified descriptions of the families, but did not add to their differential characters.

# 11.

It will be obvious to anyone who compares the definitions above given with a collection of the fishes for which they were framed, that they are not applicable to any natural groups, and that such natural groups are definable by characters that have been generally neglected. I am therefore led to submit diagnoses of the several groups which appear to me to be at least better than those for which they are

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Uebersicht der Lophobranchier. < Archiv Naturg., 1853, I, 226-234.

substituted. I do not anticipate, however, that they will be found to be definitive of the most natural arrangement, but the labor of years and a close and rigorous comparison of the skeletons of many genera will be requisite before such perfection is attainable. Meanwhile the notes here presented may be of some use in directing attention to features hitherto observed and as tentative to future work.

Some erroneous conceptions have been entertained and misstatements made respecting features of the pipefish's structure. Only a few need be here noticed, however. Such are the statements that the preoperculum and interoperculum are wanting, that the intermaxillaries are also absent, and that the symplectic is a very important element. The preoperculum and interoperculum, as well as intermaxillaries, are developed, but I am unable to identify the symplectic. In no respect do the Lophobranchs deviate so materially from ordinary fishes as has been supposed. But, as long ago shown by Parker, they manifest, in addition to the peculiarities generally noticed, deviations in the scapular arch. There is no posterotemporal, the posttemporal and proscapula being immediately connected, and the "coraco-scapular plate" is entire and not broken up into hypercoracoid and hypocoracoid bones.

## III.

# Order LOPHOBRANCHII.

# Synonyms as Order.

- Cophobranches, Cuvier, Règne Animal, 1e éd., II, p. 155, 1817.

- >Solenostomi, Bleeker, Enum. Sp. Pisc. Archip. Ind., p. xiv, 1859,2
- >Syngnathi, BLEEKER, Enum. Sp. Pisc. Archip. Ind., p. xv, 1859.
- =Prostomides, Duméril, Hist. Nat. Poiss., II, p. 495, 1870.
- =Lophobranchii, GUNTHER. Cat. Fishes Brit. Mns., VIII, pp. 150, 186, 1870.
- =Lophobranchii, Cope, Proc. Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci., XX, p. 330, 1872.
- =Lophobranchii, Fitzinger, Sitzungsber. k. Akad. der Wissensch., Wien, LXVII, 1. Abth., p. 49, 1873.

Synonym as Subclass.

Lophobranches, Duméril, Hist. Nat. Poiss., II, pp. 473, 488, 1870 (sous-classe).

# Suborder SYNGNATHI.

Synonym as Order.

=Syngnathi, Bleeker, Enum. Sp. Pisc. Archip. Ind., p. xv, 1859.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The "Sectio 2. Lophobranchii (Syngnathi)" of Bonaparte (op. cit.) is coequal with the "Ordo III. Osteodermi."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The "series Hyperostomi" of the "sublegio Lophobranchii seu Dactylodermi," *Bleeker*, Enum. Sp. Pisc. Arc. Ind., p. xiv, 1859, is coequal with the order Lophobranchii as here accepted.

## Synonym as Suborder.

=Syngnathi, GILL, Arrangement Families Fishes, p. 2, 1872.

# Family SYNGNATHID.E.

## Synonymy.

- Signatidi, RAFINESQUE, Indice d'Ittiolog. Siciliana, p. 36, 1810.
- «Syngnathida», Вонаракте, Nuovi Annali delle Sci. Nat., II, р. 130, 1838; IV, р. 185, 1840.
- Syngnathide, Swainson, Nat. Hist. and Class. Fishes, etc., 11, pp. 195, 331, 1839.
- =Syngnathidæ, NARDO, Atti Congressi Scienz. Ital. rac. et ord., I, p. 70, (1842) 1844.
- Synguathidæ, Kaup, Archiv für Naturg., 19. Jahrg., I, p. 228, 1853; also Cat. Lophobr. Fishes Brit. Mus., p. 5, 1856.
- Syngnathidæ, Girard, Expl. and Surv. for R. R. Route to Pacific Oc., X, p. 343, 1858.
- Syngnathoidei, Bleeker, Enum. Sp. Piscium Archipel. Indico, p. xv, 1859.
- Syngnathide, DUMÉRIL, Hist. Nat. Poiss., II, p. 499, 1870.
- Syngnathida, GÜNTHER, Cat. Fishes Brit. Mus., VIII, p. 153, 1870.
- =Syngnathida, Cope, Proc. Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci., XX, p. 339, 1872.
- =Syngnathide, Gill, Arr. Fam. Fishes, p. 2, 1872.
- =Syngwathi, Fitzinger, Sitzungsber. k. Akad. der Wissensch. (Wien), LXVII, 1. Abth., p. 49, 1873.
- Syngnathidæ, Moreau, Hist. Nat. Poiss. France, II, p. 28, 1881.
- =Syngnathide, Jordan and Gilbert, Syn. Fishes N. Am., pp. 80, 382, 1882.

Syngnathi with squarish quadrangular plates attingent by extensive margins to the anterior and posterior plates, and allowing more or less lateral movements; tail not prehensile or curved downward.

#### Subfamily SIPHOSTOMINÆ.

#### Synonymy.

- Syngnathini, Bonaparte, Nuovi Annali delle Sci. Nat., II, p. 130, 1838; IV, p. 186, 1840.
- Siphostomini, Bonaparte, Catal. Metod. Pesci Europei, pp. 9, 89, 1846.
- =Syngnathina, Kaup, Archiv für Naturg., 19. Jahrg., I, p. 231, 1853; also Cat. Lophobr. Fishes Brit. Mus., p. 21, 1856.
- =Syngnathiformes syngnathini, BLEEKER, Enum. Sp. Piscium Archipel. Indico, p. xv. 1859.
- =Syngnathini, Duméril, Hist. Nat. Poiss., II, pp. 499, 534, 1870.
- Syngnathina, GÜNTHER, Cat. Fishes Brit. Mns., VIII, pp. 153, 154, 1870.
- =Syngnathini, Moreau, Hist. Nat. Poiss. France, II, p. 40, 1881.

Syngnathidæ with pectoral fins, a long cleft subcaudal ovigerous pouch to males, and the upper caudal ridge continuous with the lateral and the lower caudal ridge with the ventrolateral ridge of the trunk.

# Subfamily DORYRHAMPHINÆ.

Doryrhamphinæ, KAUP, Archiv Naturgesch., 19. Jahrg., I, p. 233, 1853; Cat. Loph. Fish. Brit. Mus., p. 54, 1856.

Doryrhamphini, Duméril, Hist. Nat. Poiss., II, pp. 499, 585, 1870.

Syngnathidæ with pectoral fins and with a pectoral or abdominal ovigerous pouch to the males.

# Subfamily SYNGNATHINÆ.

=Scyphini, NARDO, Atti Sc. Ital., 1843, p. 244.

=Syngnathini, BONAPARTE, Cat. Met. Pesci Eur., pp. 9, 90, 1846.

=Nerophina, Kaup, Archiv Naturgesch., 19. Jahrg., I, p. 234, 1853.

=Nerophini, Duméril, Hist. Nat. Poiss., II, pp. 499, 600, 1870.

=Nerophini, Moreau, Hist. Nat. Poiss. France, II, p. 61, 1881.

Syngnathidæ without pectoral fins or an ovigerous pouch, the eggs being attached to the belly of the male, and the upper caudal ridge continuous with the dorso-lateral and the lower caudal ridge with the lateral ridge of the trunk.

## Subfamily GASTROTOKEINÆ.

Syngnathidæ with pectoral fins, no ovigerous pouch but eggs embedded in a soft membrane of the abdomen in the males; the upper caudal ridge continuous with the dorso-lateral, and the lower caudal ridge continuous with the ventro-lateral ridge; the body expanded below in a horizontal surface between the lateral lines, and the tail tapering and finless.

# Family HIPPOCAMPIDÆ.

# Synonyms as families.

- >Hippocampida, Owen, Lect. Comp. Anat. Vert. An., I, p. 50, 1846.
- >Hippocampidae, Baird, Icon. Encycl., II, p. 232, 1850.
- >Hippocampida, Adams, Man. Nat. Hist., p. 94, 1854.
- > Hippocampidæ, GIRARD, Expl. and Surv. for R. R. Route to Pacific Oc., X, Fishes, p. 342, 1858. (Incl. Hippocampus only.)
- =Hippocampida, Cope, Proc. Am. Assoc. Adv. Sci., XX, p. 339, 1872.
- =Hippocampida, GILL, Arr. Fam. Fishes, p. 2, 1872.
- =Hippocampi, Fitzinger, Sitzungsber. k. Akad. der Wissensch. Wien, LXVII, 1. Abth., p. 49, 1873.
- =Hippocampida, Jordan and Gilbert, Syn. Fishes N. Am., pp. 80, 385, 1882.
- =Hippocampidi, POEY, Repert. Hist. Nat. Cuba, II.

Syngnathi with rhombiform quadrangular, or irregular plates with extensions buttressed against corresponding ones of the preceding and succeeding plates, thus prohibiting any lateral movement; tail more or less prehensile or curved downward; proscapular plates large and mammilated, and antepectoral plate wide.

# Subfamily SOLEGNATHINÆ.

## Synonyms.

Solegnathinæ, Gill, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 1859, p. 149 (1859).
—Solegnathinæ, Gill, Mem. Nat. Acad. Sci., Vl. p. 137, 1893.

Hippocampide with the upper caudal ridge deflected and continuous into the lateral ridge and the lower caudal ridge continuous with the ventro-lateral ridge of the trunk; nuchal plate not elevated and not connate with the head.

Only one genus is known, viz:

Solegnathus, SWAINSON, 1839.

## Subfamily HIPPOCAMPINÆ.

## Synonyms as subfamilies.

- =Hippocampina, Gill, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. 1859, p. 149 (1859).
- «Нірросатріпі, Duméril, Hist. Nat. Poiss., II, pp. 499, 500, 1870.
- «Иірросатріпа, Güntнек, Cat. Fishes Brit. Mus., VIII, pp. 153, 194, 1870.
- =Hippocampini, Moreau, Hist. Nat. Poiss. France, II, p. 34, 1881.

Hippocampide with the upper caudal ridge ceasing forward under the dorsal and the lower caudal ridge continuous with the lateral ridge of the trunk; nuchal plate more or less elevated, erowning the back of the head and connate with the preceding plate.

The subfamily thus defined includes five genera, which represent two sections which themselves should perhaps be raised to subfamily rank.

#### Section 1.

Hippocampus, RAFINESQUE, 1810. Acentronura, KAUP, 1853.

Section 2.

Phyllopteryx, Swainson, 1839. Phycodurus, Gill, 1895. Haliichthys, Gray.

The genus *Phyllopteryx*, as left by Dr. Günther, embraces three species, each of which appears to represent a distinct genus, one of which is unnamed. This is represented by the *P. eques* of Günther and may be termed *Phycodurus* on account of its tail, which seems to branch out like a seaweed  $(\varphi o z \omega \hat{\sigma} z_5)$ ; it is distinguished further by the alternate contraction and expansion of the inferior contour of the body, the spinigerous inferior ridge and the low-set dorsal fin.